Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

#### Moawia O. Ahmed,<sup>a</sup> Jin-Long Liao,<sup>b</sup> Xiwen Chen,<sup>b</sup> Show-An Chen<sup>b</sup>\* and John H. Kaldis<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, 106 Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu, 30013 Taiwan, Republic of China, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4M1, Canada

Correspondence e-mail: sachen@chenthu.edu.tw

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 153 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.007 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.050 wR factor = 0.094 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Anhydrous tris(dibenzoylmethanido)(*o*-phenanthroline)europium(III), [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)]

The hydrated tris(dibenzoylmethanido)(*o*-phenanthroline)europium(III) complex, [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)]·*x*H<sub>2</sub>O, is converted efficiently into anhydrous tris(dibenzoylmethanido)(*o*phenanthroline)europium(III), [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)] or [Eu-(C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)], by heating under vacuum. The title compound has been characterized by FT–IR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, and X-ray diffraction methods. It crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$  with five molecules in the asymmetric unit, without any pseudosymmetry. The trivalent europium ion is eight-coordinate, and the coordination polyhedron can best be described as a slightly distorted square antiprism.

#### Comment

There is much interest in the preparation of anhydrous europium  $\beta$ -diketonate complexes. These complexes have been extensively studied with respect to applications as NMR shift reagents (Briggs, 1970; Hinckley, 1969) and as laser materials (Whan & Crosby, 1962; Balzani, 1992; Qian et al., 1997). More recently, lanthanides have attracted considerable attention for light-emitting diodes, because their photoluminescences (PL) exhibit high quantum efficiencies and very sharp spectral bands (Kido et al., 1994; Sano et al., 1995; Edwards *et al.*, 1997). Europium  $\beta$ -diketonate complexes have been well established in the literature for many years (Whan & Crosby, 1962; Pope et al., 1961; Halverson et al., 1964; Mazdiyasni et al., 1966). Melby and co-workers have prepared a series of rare earth complexes and recorded their emission spectra (Melby et al., 1964). However, most of these complexes are hydrated and contain inner coordinated water molecules, because their synthetic routes have generally used watersoluble salts, e.g. the hydrated tris(dibenzoylmethanido)(ophenanthroline)europium(III), [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)], has been prepared by using EuCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O. To the best of our knowledge, there were no published structural data or NMR studies of the anhydrous tris(dibenzoylmethanido)(o-phenanthroline)europium(III) complex. We report herein the solid-state structure of water-free [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)], (I).



© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The structural features of complex (I) were identified on the basis of IR, and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. The most

Received 13 November 2002 Accepted 13 December 2002 Online 24 December 2002



#### Figure 1

Absorption and emission spectra of complex (I):  $(\cdots)$  absorption and emission in the at room temperature and (-) absorption and emission in film.

notable feature in the IR spectrum of (I) is the absence of O– H stretching vibrations, indicating that there are no inner coordinated water molecules in the complex, which is in good agreement with the results of the elemental analysis and crystal structure. A well resolved <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was observed for complex (I). The spectrum shows a single peak at  $\delta$  16.89 p.p.m. for the enolic proton of the dibenzoylmethane ligand, four single resonances at  $\delta$  8.92, 10.01, 10.52 and 10.92 p.p.m. corresponding to the phenanthroline protons, and a multiplet at  $\delta$  6.59–8.00 p.p.m. for the phenyl protons.

The absorption and PL spectra of complex (I), in a film formed by spin-coating directly on a quartz glass substrate



#### Figure 2

The asymmetric unit for complex (I), showing all five crystallographically independent molecules, excluding H atoms.

(the spin-coating solution was 0.5wt% in chloroform), and in a THF solution at room temperature are shown in Fig. 1. Complex (I) has absorption and PL spectra nearly identical to the reported hydrated complex  $[Eu(DBM)_3(Phen)] \cdot xH_2O$ (Melby et al., 1964). The complex exhibits an absorption peak at 356 nm, which can be assigned to the allowed  $\pi - \pi^*$  transition of the  $\beta$ -diketone (DBM) ligand (Uekawa *et al.*, 1998). It can be seen that the PL spectra (excitation wavelength 356 nm) of the film and solution are very similar. They both exhibit four sharp emission peaks at 579, 591, 612 and 653 nm corresponding to the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{i}$  (j = 0-3) transitions of the trivalent europium ion, respectively, in which the first, second and fourth peaks are weak and the third is the main peak. These emissions are a result of highly efficient intramolecular energy transfer from the ligand triplet state (which is generated by intersystem crossing from the singlet state of the ligand) to the excited state of the  $Eu^{3+}$  ion,  ${}^{5}D_{0}$ , which then relaxes to the  ${}^{7}F_{i}$  (j = 0-3) states (Crosby & Whan, 1960; Bhaumik, 1965). The title compound, (I), crystallizes in the space group  $P\overline{1}$ 

with five crystallographically unrelated molecules in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 2). No pseudosymmetry is observed among the five molecules. The structure for one of the five crystallographically independent molecules is depicted in Fig. 3. The trivalent europium ion is eight-coordinate, and the coordination polyhedron can best be described as a slightly distorted square antiprism. The average Eu-O bond distances in the five molecules are almost equivalent [Eu(A) -O(A) 2.358 Å, Eu(B) - O(B) 2.354 Å, Eu(C) - O(C) 2.357 Å, Eu(D) - O(D) 2.357 Å and Eu(E) - O(E) 2.347 Å]; these bond distances are similar to the average Eu-O bond distances of 2.354 Å in tris(dibenzovlmethanido)(ophenanthroline)europium(III) acetone solvate, [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>-(Phen)]·O(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Jian et al., 1989), and 2.359 Å in tris-(acetylacetone)-o-phenanthroline, [Eu(acac)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)] (Watson et al., 1972). The average Eu-N bond distance of 2.641 Å is comparable with the average Eu-N bond distance of 2.642 Å observed in [Eu(DBM)<sub>3</sub>(Phen)]·O(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Jian et al., 1989). In the asymmetric unit, the molecules are held together by a number of weak  $C-H \cdots O$  contacts (Table 2).

#### Experimental

The hydrated complex  $[Eu(DBM)_3(Phen)]\cdot xH_2O$ , was prepared by the method of Melby *et al.* (1964). The hydrated complex was transferred to a sublimation tube and heated to 433 K *in vacuo*, when all the water was driven off and the complex began to melt without decomposition. The temperature was raised to 453 K over a period of 12 h to melt the entire complex. The apparatus was cooled, and the molten product was dissolved in dichloromethane. After the solvent evaporated, the solid was washed five times with ethanol and dried under vacuum at room temperature for 12 h to give pure anhydrous  $[Eu(DBM)_3(Phen)]$ . Orange crystals of (I) were grown from an ethanol and dichloromethane solvent mixture by slow evaporation at room temperature. The purity of complex (I) was verified by elemental analysis: calculated for  $C_{57}H_{41}EuN_2O_6$ : C 68.30, H 4.10, N



Figure 3

Representation of complex (I), with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids, for one of five crystallographically independent molecules. H atoms have been excluded for clarity.

2.80%; found: C 68.12, H 3.8, N 2.6% (m.p. 459–461 K). IR (neat) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1596, 1550, 1456, 724; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.59–8.00 (*m*, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 30 H), 8.92 (*s*, CH=, 2H), 10.01 (*s*, CH=, 2H), 10.52 (*s*, CH=, 2H), 10.92 (*s*, CH=, 2H), 16.89 (*s*, CH, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  109.7, 123.2–130.7, 131.9, 132.5, 135.5, 150.2, 168.5, 172.8, 180.5, 185.7.

#### Crystal data

$[Eu(C_{15}H_{11}O_2)_3(C_{12}H_8N_2)]$	Z = 10
$M_r = 1001.88$	$D_x = 1.446 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 16.275 (6) Å	Cell parameters from 1016
b = 23.504 (9) Å	reflections
c = 31.712 (12)  Å	$\theta = 4.4-54.8^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 103.844 \ (6)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 1.42 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 91.466~(6)^{\circ}$	T = 153 (2)  K
$\gamma = 101.585 \ (6)^{\circ}$	Parallelepiped, yellow
$V = 11504 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.24 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	49 686 independent reflections
diffractometer	32 004 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.061$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2000)	$h = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.776, T_{\max} = 0.868$	$k = -30 \rightarrow 29$
102 650 measured reflections	$l = -36 \rightarrow 40$

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0222P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.095$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.012$
S = 0.99	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.61 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
49 686 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.68 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
2972 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXTL
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.000029 (6)

Eu1A-O4A	2.312 (3)	O6A-C45A	1.278 (5)
Eu1A - O3A	2.339 (3)	C13A-C14A	1.408 (6)
Eu1A - O1A	2.351 (3)	C13A-C16A	1.513 (6)
Eu1A - O6A	2.354 (3)	C14A-C15A	1.401 (6)
Eu1A - O2A	2.382 (3)	C15A-C22A	1.506 (6)
Eu1A - O5A	2.413 (3)	C28A-C29A	1.385 (6)
Eu1A - N1A	2.651 (4)	C28A-C31A	1.498 (6)
Eu1A - N2A	2.661 (4)	C29A-C30A	1.406 (6)
O1A - C13A	1.267 (5)	C30A-C37A	1.503 (6)
O2A - C15A	1.272 (5)	C43A-C44A	1.406 (6)
O3A - C28A	1.291 (5)	C43A-C46A	1.483 (6)
O4A - C30A	1.272 (5)	C44A-C45A	1.398 (6)
O5A-C43A	1.272 (5)	C45A-C52A	1.494 (6)
O4A - Eu1A - O3A	71.83 (10)	C13A = O1A = Eu1A	137 8 (3)
O4A = Eu1A = O1A	145 26 (11)	C15A - O2A - Fu1A	137.9(3)
$O_{A}^{A}$ = Eu1A = O1A	142.83(10)	$C_{28A} = O_{3A} = E_{u1A}$	1353(3)
D4A - Eu1A - O6A	102.09(10)	C30A - O4A - Eu1A	136.8 (3)
D3A - Eu1A - O6A	75.14 (10)	C43A - O5A - Eu1A	135.9 (3)
O1A - Eu1A - O6A	92.87 (10)	C45A - O6A - Eu1A	137.4 (3)
O4A - Eu1A - O2A	139.52 (10)	01A - C13A - C14A	123.9 (4)
O3A - Eu1A - O2A	74.83 (10)	O1A - C13A - C16A	115.0 (4)
O1A - Eu1A - O2A	70.21 (10)	C14A-C13A-C16A	121.1 (4)
O6A - Eu1A - O2A	90.76 (10)	C15A - C14A - C13A	123.5 (4)
O4A - Eu1A - O5A	81.57 (10)	O2A - C15A - C14A	123.7 (4)
O3A - Eu1A - O5A	131.45 (11)	O2A-C15A-C22A	116.5 (4)
O1A - Eu1A - O5A	73.60 (10)	C14A-C15A-C22A	119.8 (4)
O6A - Eu1A - O5A	71.68 (11)	O3A - C28A - C29A	123.6 (4)
O2A - Eu1A - O5A	138.69 (9)	O3A-C28A-C31A	114.9 (4)
O4A - Eu1A - N1A	79.84 (11)	C29A-C28A-C31A	121.4 (4)
O3A - Eu1A - N1A	76.26 (11)	C28A-C29A-C30A	124.2 (4)
O1A - Eu1A - N1A	102.90 (11)	O4A-C30A-C29A	123.8 (4)
O6A - Eu1A - N1A	149.03 (12)	O4A-C30A-C37A	115.4 (4)
O2A - Eu1A - N1A	70.40 (10)	C29A-C30A-C37A	120.8 (4)
O5A - Eu1A - N1A	138.23 (11)	O5A-C43A-C44A	124.3 (4)
O4A - Eu1A - N2A	73.72 (11)	O5A-C43A-C46A	118.4 (4)
O3A - Eu1A - N2A	129.17 (10)	C44A-C43A-C46A	117.3 (4)
O1A - Eu1A - N2A	77.34 (11)	C45A-C44A-C43A	125.0 (4)
O6A - Eu1A - N2A	148.95 (12)	O6A-C45A-C44A	124.5 (4)
O2A - Eu1A - N2A	112.64 (12)	O6A-C45A-C52A	116.4 (4)
O5A - Eu1A - N2A	77.29 (12)	C44A-C45A-C52A	119.0 (4)
N1A - Fu1A - N2A	61 69 (12)	C43B - C44B - C45B	123 5 (4)

## Table 2Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

Table 1

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C1A - H1AA \cdots O3A$	0.95	2.54	3.119 (6)	120
$C10A - H10A \cdots O5A$	0.95	2.40	3.094 (8)	129
$C10B - H10B \cdots O5B$	0.95	2.44	2.997 (6)	118
C10C−H10C···O3C	0.95	2.38	3.016 (6)	124
$C10D - H10D \cdots O3D$	0.95	2.42	3.017 (6)	121
$C10E - H10E \cdot \cdot \cdot O3E$	0.95	2.51	3.153 (6)	125
$C17A - H17A \cdots O1A$	0.95	2.38	2.716 (6)	100
$C32B - H32B \cdot \cdot \cdot O3B$	0.95	2.40	2.736 (7)	100
C47C−H47C···O5C	0.95	2.36	2.702 (6)	100
$C47E - H47E \cdot \cdot \cdot O5E$	0.95	2.32	2.679 (6)	101
$C53E - H53E \cdot \cdot \cdot O6E$	0.95	2.36	2.692 (6)	100
C57 <i>C</i> −H57 <i>C</i> ···O6 <i>C</i>	0.95	2.40	2.730 (7)	100

All H atoms were placed geometrically and refined using a riding model with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  and C-H = 0.95 Å. Atoms C55C and C56C have slightly large displacement parameters. A disorder model with two orientations for the phenyl ring did not improve the refinement.

### metal-organic papers

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank the National Science Council and the Ministry of Education for financial support through project 91E-FA04-2-4A of the Republic of China. JHK thanks the Province of Ontario and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for graduate fellow-ships.

#### References

- Balzani, V. (1992). Tetrahedron, 48, 10443-10514.
- Bhaumik, M. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 787-788.
- Briggs, J. (1970). Chem. Commun. pp. 749-750.
- Bruker (2000). *SMART* (Release 5.611) and *SAINT* (Release 6.02*a*). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Crosby, G. A. & Whan, R. E. (1960). J. Chem. Phys. 32, 614-615.

- Edwards, A., Claude, C., Sokolik, I., Chu, T. Y., Okamoto, Y. & Dorsinvill, R. (1997). J. Appl. Phys. 82, 1841–1846.
- Halverson, F., Brinen, J. S. R. & Leto, J. (1964). J. Chem. Phys. 40, 2790–2792. Hinckley, C. C. (1969). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 5160–5162.
- Jian, Y., Xian, H., Zhong-Yuan, Z. & Li, L. (1989). J. Struct. Chem. 8, 187–191.
- Stati, I., Maii, H., Zhong-Tuan, Z. & Li, E. (1997). J. Shutt. Chem. 6, 101–171.Kido, J., Hayase, H., Hongawa, K., Nagai, K. & Okuyama, K. (1994). Appl. Phys Lett. 65, 2124–2126.
- Mazdiyasni, K. S., Lynch, C. T. & Smith, J. S. (1966). *Inorg. Chem.* **3**, 342–346. Melby, L. R., Rose, N. J., Abramson, E. & Caris, J. C. (1964). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
- 86, 5117–5125. Qian, D. J. Yang, K. Z. Nakahara, H. & Fukuda, K. (1997). *Langmuir*, 13, 5925–5932.
- Pope, G. W., Steinbach, J. F. & Wagner, W. F. (1961). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 20, 304–313.
- Sano, T., Fujita, M., Fujii, T., Hamada, Y., Shibat, K. A. & Kuroki, K. (1995). Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 34, 1883–1886.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SADABS. Version 2.03. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Uekawa, M., Miyamoto, Y., Ikeda, H., Kaifu, K. & Nakaya, T. (1998). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, **71**, 2253–2258.
- Watson, W. H., Williams, R. J. & Stemple, N. R. (1972). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 34, 501–508.
- Whan, R. E. & Crosby, G. A. (1962). J. Mol. Spectrosc. 8, 315-327.